

**MANUFACTURING PROCESSES**

<b>COORDINATOR:</b>	<b>PAUL MUNAFO</b>	<b>NASA/MSFC</b>
<b>CONTRIBUTORS:</b>	<b>JAY BENNET</b>	<b>NASA/JSC</b>
	<b>DAVID BROWER</b>	<b>LOCKHEED/HOUSTON</b>
	<b>STAN LEVINE</b>	<b>NASA/LERC</b>
	<b>RAY WALKER</b>	<b>P&amp;W/WEST PALM BEACH</b>
	<b>JOHN WOOTEN</b>	<b>ROCKWELL/ROCKETDYNE</b>

**MANUFACTURING PROCESSES****ISSUES**

- o PROCESS DEVELOPMENT FREQUENTLY LAGS BEHIND MATERIAL DEVELOPMENT
- o HIGH FABRICATION COSTS
- o FLEX JOINTS (BELLOWS) A CONTINUING PROGRAM
- o SRM FABRICATION-INDUCED DEFECTS
- o IN-SPACE ASSEMBLY WILL REQUIRE SIMPLIFIED DESIGNS

**PROPOSED ACTIONS/PROGRAMS**

- o FABRICATE ADVANCED COMPOSITE DEMO ARTICLE(S)
- o FABRICATE DEMO RCS THRUSTER USING IRIIDIUM-COATED RHENIUM
- o NEAR-NET SHAPE FABRICATION
- o SMART MANUFACTURING
- o DEVELOP NEW FLEX JOINT
- o RHEOLOGY STUDY OF SOLID PROPELLANT FLOW CHARACTERISTICS
- o COVALENT BONDING PROCESS FOR INSULATOR/PROPELLANT
- o MANUFACTURE OF LARGE INTEGRATED COMPONENTS (MODULES)

## **MANUFACTURING PROCESSES (CONT'D)**

### **MAJOR OBJECTIVES**

- o LARGE-SCALE DEMO ARTICLES
- o REDUCED FABRICATION COSTS
- o RELIABLE, EASY-TO-ASSEMBLY FLUID COUPLINGS
- o IMPROVED SRM PROCESSING
- o MODULAR COMPONENTS

### **MILESTONES**

IMPROVED BELLOWS	1993
JOINING TECHNIQUE FOR RHENIUM THRUSTERS	1993
SIMPLIFIED COUPLINGS	1994
NET-SHAPE HARDWARE DEMO	1994
RHEOLOGY STUDY OF PROPELLANT CASTING	1995
CERAMIC MATRIX COMPOSITE ROTOR	1996

## **MANUFACTURING PROCESSES**

### **RECOMMENDATIONS/FINDINGS**

- O ESTABLISH BROAD-BASED PEER GROUPS TO REVIEW TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS**
  - o PROGRAM MANAGER AS FOCAL POINT**
  - o FELLOW TECHNOLOGISTS (M'F'G, MAT'LS, NDE)**
  - o USERS/DESIGNERS**
  - o GUIDE THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS**
  - o INDEPENDENT TEAM FOR PROGRAMMATIC DECISIONS**
  - o FUNCTIONS THROUGHOUT PROGRAM -- FROM ADVOCACY TO IMPLEMENTATION**

## **MANUFACTURING PROCESSES**

### **RECOMMENDATIONS/FINDINGS (CONT'D)**

- O IMPLEMENT REVIEW/REPORTING SYSTEM SIMILAR TO THAT NOW USED IN IR&D**
  - o CURRENT AND PLANNED PROGRAMS**
  - o STANDARD FORMAT**
  - o COULD REPLACE ANNUAL SYMPOSIA**
- O INCORPORATE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER INTO DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR IMPROVED EQUIPMENT**
  - o WOULD PROVIDE "PEER" SUPPORT FOR CONTINUED DEVELOPMENT**
  - o WOULD ASSURE CONSISTENCY BETWEEN DEVELOPED EQUIPMENT AND USER NEEDS**
  - o WOULD PROVIDE FOR ORDERLY, PLANNED TRANSFER OF RESPONSIBILITY FROM DEVELOPER TO USER**

## MANUFACTURING PROCESSES

### RECOMMENDATIONS/FINDINGS (CONT'D)

#### **O HARDWARE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAMS SHOULD BE PERFORMED FOR COMPOSITES**

- o SHOULD NOT STOP AT THE COUPON LEVEL**
- o "PHASE 2 OFTEN NOT FUNDED"**
- o DEMO ARTICLES SHOULD BE USED FOR PROPERTY DETERMINATION**
- o INVOLVE PROPULSION/DESIGN ELEMENTS**

#### **O PROPULSION SYSTEMS FOR IN-SPACE ASSEMBLY SHOULD BE DESIGNED TO MINIMIZE COMPLEX OPERATIONS**

- o MODULAR DESIGN**
- o EASY-TO-ASSEMBLE COUPLINGS**

### FABRICATE ADVANCED COMPOSITE DEMOS

<b>ISSUES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>o Full-scale fabrication not demonstrated for advanced composites.</b></li><li><b>o Properties obtained from coupons not representative.</b></li></ul>	<b>MAJOR OBJECTIVES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>o Full scale demo articles for advanced composites.</b></li><li><b>o Component tests.</b></li><li><b>o Destructive evaluation of mechanical properties.</b></li></ul>
<b>CANDIDATE PROGRAMS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>o Screen and match materials/components.</b></li><li><b>o Subscale feasibility tests.</b></li><li><b>o Select demo article configuration(s).</b></li><li><b>o Build and test demo articles.</b></li><li><b>o Destructive evaluation.</b></li></ul>	<b>SIGNIFICANT MILESTONES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>o Screen and match: 1991-1992</b></li><li><b>o Select demo articles: 1993</b></li><li><b>o Build and test: 1996 —&gt;</b></li></ul>

## FABRICATION OF RCS THRUSTERS

<b>ISSUES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o Advanced (optimised) thrusters require material combinations which currently can not be welded.</li></ul>	<b>MAJOR OBJECTIVES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o Develop joining techniques for rhenium thrusters.</li></ul>
<b>CANDIDATE PROGRAMS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o Select candidate materials to join to rhenium.</li><li>o Select candidate joining processes.</li><li>o Fabricate and evaluate samples.</li><li>o Transfer findings to hardware fabrication program.</li></ul>	<b>SCHEDULE</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o Material selection: 1991</li><li>o Process selection: 1991</li><li>o Sample fabrication/evaluation: 1992</li><li>o Hardware applications: 1993</li></ul>

## NEAR-NET SHAPE FABRICATION PROCESSES

<b>ISSUES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o High fabrication costs for complex components.</li></ul>	<b>MAJOR OBJECTIVES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o State-of-the-art of near-net shape forming processes.</li><li>o Choose most promising applications.</li><li>o Demonstration tests.</li><li>o Technology transfer.</li></ul>
<b>CANDIDATE PROGRAMS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o Literature survey.</li><li>o Prioritise candidate processes and applications.</li><li>o Conduct/evaluate fabrication requirements.</li><li>o Fabricate and test component.</li></ul>	<b>SCHEDULE</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o Literature survey: 1991-1992</li><li>o Fabrication experiments: 1992-1993</li><li>o Demonstration tests: 1993-1994</li><li>o Program implementations: 1994 →</li></ul>

## SMART MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>ISSUES</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ High Fabrication costs for Low-Volume-Components.</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>MAJOR OBJECTIVES</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Cost-effective manufacturing in a low-volume production environment.</li> <li>◦ Analytically-based process development.</li> <li>◦ Rapid transition from laboratory to manufacturing.</li> </ul>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>CANDIDATE PROGRAMS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Computer simulation of manufacturing processes.</li> <li>◦ Material processing data base.</li> <li>◦ Process control utilising process sensor technology.</li> <li>◦ Standardisation of computer language.</li> <li>◦ Rapid prototyping by stereolithography.</li> <li>◦ Flexible processing cells.</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SCHEDULE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Identify near-term applications: 1992</li> <li>◦ SRM, ALS, External Tank applications: 1992 →</li> <li>◦ SEI: Long term</li> </ul>

## MODULAR ASSEMBLY

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>ISSUES</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Frequent flex joint (bellows) problems.</li> <li>◦ Current manufacturing procedures too complex for in-space assembly.</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>MAJOR OBJECTIVES</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ High-reliability flex joints.</li> <li>◦ Modular components.</li> <li>◦ Simple-to-assemble couplings.</li> </ul>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>CANDIDATE PROGRAMS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Improved bellows fabrication.</li> <li>◦ Design/Test snap-together couplings.</li> <li>◦ Manufacture of large integrated components (modules).</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SCHEDULE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Bellows fabrication optimized: 1993</li> <li>◦ Simplified couplings: 1994</li> <li>◦ Demo modular components: Long term</li> </ul>

## SRM MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY

ISSUES	MAJOR OBJECTIVES
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Debonds at insulator (propellant and insulator) case interfaces.</li> <li>2. Flow-induced anomalies in the propellant during casting result in localized fast burning areas.</li> <li>3. Continuous Casting:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Scale-up effect unknown on physical properties when comparing subscale to fullscale.</li> <li>b) Orientation (radial vs. circumferential vs. axial) effect on mechanical and ballistic properties not known.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Improved bonding methods.</li> <li>2. Improved understanding of flow during casting, leading to improved ballistic and mechanical properties of propellant.</li> <li>3. Determine the mechanism that leads to the scale-up and orientation variability phenomena; develop processes that will provide more homogeneous propellant.</li> </ol>
CANDIDATE PROGRAMS	SCHEDULE
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Develop an insertion material to form covalent bonds with the two materials.</li> <li>2. Rheology study of propellant flow during casting.</li> <li>3. Analytical study of scale-up and orientation phenomena; empirical, configuration-specific determination of optimum processing for specific SRM designs.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Continuous through 1995.</li> <li>2. Continuous through 1995.</li> <li>3. Analytical study: Continuous through 1996. Empirical study: Early in production.</li> </ol>